UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,266	02/09/2004	Chi-Cheng Ju	3722-0176P	8567

2292 7590 05/18/2006	EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH	HSU, JONI	
PO BOX 747		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	2628	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>*28 February 2006*</u>.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) <u>*1-4 and 6-15*</u> is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) <u>*1-4 and 6-15*</u> is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3)☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>*8/22/05.*</u>

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6)☐ Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1.   Applicant's arguments filed February 28, 2006 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

2.   Applicant argues that Vinekar (US0055813l0A) does not teach that each memory page

has a first memory section and a second memory section (page 4).

In reply, the Examiner disagrees. Vinekar describes that each bank contains an odd

buffer page section and an even buffer page section (Col. 12, line 46-Col. 13, line 11, Figure 8,

9). These sections are labeled "odd buffer **page 0**" and "even buffer **page 0**", which means that

these odd and even sections are on the same page 0, so Bank 0 (800) is considered to contain one

page, page 0. Therefore, Vinekar does teach that each memory page (page 0, 800) has a first

memory section (odd buffer page 0) and a second memory section (even buffer page 0).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or
> described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject
> matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole
> would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary
> skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived
> by the manner in which the invention was made.

4.   The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459

(1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1.   Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2.   Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3.   Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4.   Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness
        or nonobviousness.

5.   Claims 1-4 and 6-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

McGuinness (US006104416A) in view of Vinekar (US005581310A).

6.   With regard to Claim 1, McGuinness describes a method of storing an array of digital

data into a memory (Col. 3, lines 14-16) having a plurality of memory pages (Col. 8, lines 51-

58), the method comprising the steps of dividing the array of digital data into a plurality of block

units (Col. 3, lines 16-18) each of the block units having a plurality of odd rows and a plurality

of even rows (Col. 11, line 51-Col. 12, line 13), each of the odd rows and the even rows having

at least one byte (*one byte of storage is required for each pixel*, Col. 4, lines 47-48; *putting 16*

pixels into each row, Col. 11, lines 52-54); storing subsequent odd rows of at least one of the

block units into consecutive storage locations in the first memory section (532) (Col. 11, lines

57-63), and storing subsequent even rows of at least one of the block units into consecutive

storage locations in the second memory section (534) (Col. 11, line 65-Col. 12, line 13).

However, McGuinness does not specifically teach that each memory page has the first

memory section and the second memory section. However, Vinekar describes that each bank

contains an odd buffer page section and an even buffer page section (Col. 12, line 46-Col. 13, line 11, Figure 8, 9). These sections are labeled "odd buffer **page 0**" and "even buffer **page 0**", which means that these odd and even sections are on the same page 0, so Bank 0 (800) is considered to contain one page, page 0. Therefore, Vinekar discloses that each memory page (page 0, 800) has a first memory section (odd buffer page 0) and a second memory section (even buffer page 0).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention by applicant to modify the device of McGuinness so that each memory page has the first memory section and the second memory section as suggested by Vinekar because Vinekar suggests the advantage of fully utilizing every burst mode access, therefore increasing bandwidth and thus being capable of operating at very high data transfer rates expected in high performance video applications (Col. 4, lines 11-29).

7.   With regard to Claim 2, McGuinness describes that the array of digital data comprises a picture in a video bit stream (Col. 4, line 64-Col. 5, line 9).

8.   With regard to Claim 3, McGuinness describes that the first memory section (532) has a first number of first areas (words) and the second memory section (534) has a second number of second areas, each of the first areas and the second areas has consecutive storage locations, each of the first number and the second number is equal to or larger than one (Col. 11, line 55-Col. 12, line 4).

9.   With regard to Claim 4, McGuinness discloses that the first number is equal to the second number (Col. 11, line 55-Col. 12, line 4), as shown in Figure 8.

10.   With regard to Claim 6, McGuinness discloses that both the first number and the second number can inherently be modified to equal any number (Col. 11, line 55-Col. 12, line 4), and therefore the both the first number and the second number can have a value of one.

11.   With regard to Claim 7, McGuinness discloses that both the first number and the second number can inherently be modified to equal any number (Col. 11, line 55-Col. 12, line 4), and therefore the both the first number and the second number can have a value of two.

12.   With regard to Claim 8, McGuinness describes that each of the block units has m rows, wherein m is an integer equal to or larger than four (Col. 10, lines 43-53).

13.   With regard to Claim 9, McGuinness describes that m is equal to thirty-two (Col. 10, lines 43-53).

14.   With regard to Claim 10, Claim 10 is similar in scope to Claims 1 and 2, and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

15.   With regard to Claim 11, Claim 11 is similar in scope to Claim 3, and therefore is rejected under the same rationale.

16.   With regard to Claim 12, Claim 12 is similar in scope to Claim 8, and therefore is

rejected under the same rationale.

17.   With regard to Claim 13, Claim 13 is similar in scope to Claim 1, except for the addition

of retrieving a prediction block of picture from the memory, retrieving the digital data

representing the prediction block stored in the first memory section, and retrieving the digital

data representing the prediction block stored in the second memory section. McGuinness

describes retrieving a prediction block of picture from the memory, retrieving the digital data

representing the prediction block stored in the first memory section (532, Figure 8), and

retrieving the digital data representing the prediction block stored in the second memory section

(534) (Col. 7, lines 64-67; Col. 11, line 51-Col. 12, line 32). Therefore, Claim 13 is rejected

under the same rationale as Claim 1.

18.   With regard to Claim 14, Claim 14 is similar in scope to Claim 3, and therefore is

rejected under the same rationale.

19.   With regard to Claim 15, Claim 15 is similar in scope to Claim 8, and therefore is

rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Joni Hsu whose telephone number is 571-272-7785. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ulka Chauhan can be reached on 571-272-7782. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JH

ULKA CHAUHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER